

DCP 424 Working Group Meeting 10

28 April 2025 at 13:00

Attendee	Company
Working Group Members	
Laura Quinn (LQ)	SPEN
John Greene (JG)	SSE Retail
Lili Zou (LZ)	SSE (Distribution)
Phillip Mark (PM)	SSE Distribution
Felix Leong (FL)	Ofgem
Benny Talbot (BT)	COOP Energy
Christopher Day (CD)	Elexon
Mary Gillie (MG)	Energy Local
Nik Willis (NW)	Stark
Hazel Patterson (HP)	SPEN
Louise Bunney (LB)	NPg
Meg Wong (MW)	Stark
Lorna Murray (LM)	SPEN
Code Administrator	
Andy Green [AG]	Chair
Apologies	
Chris Ong (CO)	UKPN
Edda Dirks (ED)	SSEG

1. Administration

Recording

- 1.1 The Chair confirmed that the meeting was recorded to support the production of accurate minutes. The recording will be deleted once the minutes are approved.

Competition Law Guidance and Terms of Reference

- 1.2 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.

Minutes & Actions Plus Apologies

- 1.3 No feedback was provided on the minutes from the previous meeting. Updates on the actions are included in the appendix.
- 1.4 The Chair noted that a number of apologies had been received due to a clash with the DCP 461 Working Group.

2. Purpose of the Meeting

- 2.1 The Chair set out that the purpose of the meeting was to review the consultation responses and agree on next steps.

3. Review of Legal Text

- 3.1 The Chair noted that the purpose of the Working Group was to review the draft legal text circulated ahead of the meeting, develop the approach for the second consultation and agree next steps and indicative timelines.
- 3.2 The Chair started by reminding the Working Group that responses to the first consultation had raised concerns regarding the value of implementing a solution solely for pre-MHHS arrangements, and as such the Working Group agreed that the legal drafting should cater for both MHHS-migrated and non-migrated MPANs.
- 3.3 The Working Group reviewed the draft legal text and noted it appears workable at a high level.
- 3.4 It was agreed that definitions should align with the BSC where possible.
- 3.5 It was also agreed that pseudo MPANs would be used for calculation purposes only, with billing remaining on physical MPANs.
- 3.6 It was also noted that DUoS charges will continue to be based on gross consumption volumes.

Wider Design Considerations

- 3.7 It was agreed within the Working Group that changes to CDCM tariffs or charging methodologies are proposed within this change, as the primary MPANs would continue to be billed in DUoS terms as usual.
- 3.8 It was explained that the change proposal relates to processing of data rather than tariff calculation so it was suggested that removing the term 'calculated' from the legal text and changing it with "applied" would make this much clearer.

MHHS Interaction

- 3.9 It was noted that settlement arrangements remain governed by the BSC and that any concerns around this needed to be raised within the BSC change, not within the DCUSA change.
- 3.10 It was also noted that complex site arrangements under MHHS remain an industry-wide issue and there were existing issues that needed to be corrected that again were not part of DCP 424 to

resolve. CD highlighted that there will be an issues group created within the BSC to review these existing issues and remedy them in due course.

- 3.11 There were no other comments raised on the legal text so the Chair advised he would set up a meeting with MG and CD on Friday 01 May 2026 to make some slight adjustments to the legal text and share the updated version with the Working Group after that call.

Consultation Development

- 3.12 The Chair advised that the second consultation will focus on the draft legal text and proposed solution.
- 3.13 The Chair also noted that questions on intent and principles will not be repeated, and that questions on the objectives and other questions that the first consultation had dealt with will not be asked again in the second consultation.
- 3.14 The Working Group agreed that the below set of questions would be asked in the second consultation.

Number	Questions
1	Do you agree with the approach taken by the Working Group to cater for both MHHS-migrated and non-migrated MPANs?
2	Do you agree with the Working Group approach of using Pseudo Settlement (Secondary) MPANs for submitting gross demand data for class F and G sites in a Class 5 Complex Site to Distributors?
3	Do you believe are there further DCUSA schedules or legal text changes required to facilitate this change? Please provide further information if yes.
4	Are there any alternative solutions that the Working Group has not considered?
5	Do you have any comments on the draft legal text?
6	Are you aware of any wider industry developments that may impact upon or be impacted by this CP?
7	Do you have any other comments on DCP 424?

- 3.15 sd

4. Next Steps and Next Meeting Date

- 4.1 The Chair stated that he would set up a meeting to discuss the legal text with MG and CD and create a consultation document for the Working Group to review at the next Working Group meeting. The next Working Group meeting was scheduled to take place on 12 May 2026 at 1pm.

5. Any Other Business

- 5.1 No other business was raised.

Attachments

- Attachment 1_DCP 424 Draft Legal Text V3.0.

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
05/01	The Chair to update the Legal text and share with the Working Group	Chair	New action.
05/02	The Chair to create a second consultation document and legal text and share with the Working Group.	Chair	New action.

Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
01/08	Working Group members to review paragraph 1.5	All	New action
02/08	The Chair update the consultation document with the new REC change ID as well as contacting the REC to find out their timelines for the consultation to be issued.	Chair	New action
03/08	Working Group to check the table in paragraph 4.11 to ensure all the pros and cons have been captured accurately.	All	New action
04/08	Chair to update the consultation and issue to the Working Group for review.	Chair	New action
01/01	Chair to draft a consultation and issue to the Working Group for review.	Chair	New action
02/01	Chair to issue the consultation to DCMDG and SIG members, in addition to the usual recipients.	Chair	New action
03/01	Secretariat to raise awareness of the DCP 458 consultation at the next DCMDG meeting.	Secretariat	New action

DCUSA